Table 1 - Detection of amyloid and tau in the brain using PET

Summary of assays authorized by regulatory authorities in the United States or Europe

Test	Source see manuscript for details	Measure vs SoT	Sens/Spec	AUC	Additional Information		
AMYLOID STATUS							
Amyvid® ¹⁸ F-florbetapir Eli Lilly and Company	US and EU label (1, 2); End-of- life cohort (N=59)	Visual scan read vs neuropathology	92/100*	-	Findings also published by Clark et al (3)		
	Published findings (3); Additional Clark et al. findings	Quantitation vs neuropathology	97/100	-	-		
Neuraceq® ¹⁸ F-florbetaben <i>Life Molecular</i> <i>Imaging</i>	US label (4); End-of-life cohort (N=82)	Visual scan read vs neuropathology	98/80†	-	-		
	EU label (5); End-of-life cohort (N=31)		100/86*	-	-		
	Published findings (6, 7); data from 2 additional studies	Quantitation [‡] vs neuropathology	87-96/60-96	0.84-0.97	-		
Vizamyl™ ¹⁸ F-flutemetamol <i>GE Healthcare</i>	US label (8) End-of-life cohort (N=68)	Visual scan read vs neuropathology	88/88†	-	Findings from EU label also published by Curtis et al (9)		
	EU label (10); End-of-life cohort (N=68)		86/92*	-			
	Published findings (11, 12); data from 2 additional studies	Visual scan read vs neuropathology	79-100/65-100*	0.89-0.96	Study population in both cases was label cohort plus an additional 38 participants (N=106)		
TAU STATUS							
TAUVID™ ¹⁸ F-flortaucipir Eli Lilly and Company	US label (13); End-of-life cohort (N=64)	Visual scan read vs neuropathology	92/76†	-	Findings from US label also published by Fleisher et al., where majority read sens/spec=92/80 (14)		
	Published findings (15); data from an additional study	Quantitation [‡] vs neuropathology	71-94/70-95	-	-		

FOOTNOTE

For some EU and US labels, manufacturers provide performance data from more than one study or analysis (e.g., qualitative read, quantitative analysis. For the table, priority was given to findings that 1) were reported in both US and EU labels and 2) were from pivotal studies. Please refer to the respective labels for additional information. For published findings, only studies with N>30 considered

*Values from majority read of scans †Median values *Performances based on ROC-generated cut-offs

Abbreviations: Aβ, β-amyloid; AUC, area under the curve; LDT, laboratory developed test; PET, positron emission tomography; PPA/NPA, positive percent agreement/negative percent agreement; sens/spec, sensitivity/specificity; SoT, standard of truth

Table 2 - Detection of amyloid and tau in cerebrospinal fluid

Summary of assays authorized by regulatory authorities in the United States (US), or Europe or run as an LDT in the US

Test	Source see manuscript for details	Measure vs SoT	Sens/Spec PPA/NPA	AUC	Additional Information		
AMYLOID STATUS - Aβ42 and Aβ40 CONCENTRATION; Aβ42/40 RATIO; P-tau181/Aβ42 RATIO							
INNOTEST® Aβ42 <i>Fujirebio</i>	EU instructions for use (16); N=334	Aβ42 concentration vs clinical diagnosis	Αβ42: 85/55	-	<u>Threshold</u> - Not included in documentation		
	Published findings (17); data from an additional study	Aβ42 concentration vs neuropathology	Αβ42: 80/82	Αβ42: 0.87	-		
Lumipulse® G Aβ42 Aβ42/40 ratio Aβ42/P-tau181 ratio <i>Fujirebio</i>	EU instructions for use (16); N=94	Concentration vs amyloid PET [‡]	Αβ42: 95/51	Αβ42: 0.76	See text for performance data based on clinical SoT		
			Aβ42/40 ratio: 88/80	Aβ42/40 ratio: 0.87	<u>Thresholds (positive)</u> Aβ42 <916 pg/mL		
			Aβ42/P-tau181 ratio: 93/80	Aβ42/P-tau181 ratio: 0.88	Aβ42/40 ratio <0.062 Aβ42/P-tau181 <15.134		
	US IVD Label (16, 18); N=292, sample from ADNI	Aβ42/40 concentration ratio vs amyloid PET*	Aβ42/40 ratio: 92/93	-	PPA/NPA excludes <i>likely positive</i> . See text for this information <u>Thresholds</u> Positive: $A\beta 42/40$ ratio ≤ 0.058 Likely positive: $0.059 \leq A\beta 42/40$ ratio ≤ 0.072 Negative: $A\beta 42/40$ ratio ≥ 0.073		
	Published findings (19-23); data from 5 additional studies	Concentration vs amyloid PET [‡]	Αβ42: 80-99/51-88	Αβ42: 0.76-0.92	_		
			Aβ42/40 ratio: 77-99/77-98	Aβ42/40 ratio: 0.86-0.94			

Elecsys® Aβ42 and P-tau181/ Aβ42 ratio <i>Roche Diagnostics</i>	EU instructions for use (24); N=277, sample from BioFINDER cohort	Concentration/ratio vs amyloid PET*	Αβ42: 91/73 P-tau181/Aβ42 ratio: 91/89	Αβ42: 0.87 P-tau181/Aβ42 ratio: 0.94	<u>Thresholds (positive)</u> Aβ42 ≤1030 pg/ml P-tau181/Aβ42 ratio >0.023	
	Published findings (25, 26); data from 2 additional studies	Concentration/ratio vs neuropathology	-	Aβ42: 0.89-0.92 P-tau181/Aβ42 ratio: 0.96- 0.98	-	
Euroimmun Aβ42 and Aβ42/40 ratio <i>Perkin Elmer</i>	EU instructions for use (27); N=154	Concentration vs clinical diagnosis	Αβ42: 89/75	-	PPA/NPA excludes Intermediate positive. See text for this information <u>Thresholds</u>	
			Aβ42/40 ratio: 94/76	-	Intermediate positive: $551 \le A\beta 42 \le 650$ pg/mL Negative: $A\beta 42 > 651$ pg/mL Positive: $A\beta 42/40$ ratio ≤ 0.1	
	Published findings (28-31); data from 4 additional studies	Concentration/ratio vs amyloid PET [‡]	Αβ42: 78-83/69-83	Αβ42: 0.81-0.89		
			Aβ42/40 ratio: 83-100/72-94	Aβ42/40 ratio: 0.87-0.96	-	
TECAN Aβ42 and Aβ42/40 ratio <i>IBL International</i>	EU instructions for use (32, 33); N=203	Concentration vs clinical diagnosis	Αβ42: 77/83	-	Thresholds (positive)	
			Aβ42/40 ratio: 92/94	-	Aβ42 <888 pg/mL Aβ42/40 ratio <0.068	
	Published findings (34); data from an additional study	Concentration/ratio vs amyloid PET ⁺	Αβ42: 82/73	Αβ42: 0.81		
			Aβ42/40 ratio: 96/88	Aβ42/40 ratio: 0.94	-	
ADmark [®] Aβ42 and Aβ42/total tau Index (ATI) Athena Diagnostics	Visit company website to request information (35)					
	Published findings (36); data from an additional study	Concentration/ATI vs AD	Αβ42: 92/54	Αβ42: 0.78		
		neuropathological manifestations	ATI: 72/70	ATI: 0.69	-	

TAU STATUS - P-tau181 CONCENTRATION						
INNOTEST® P-tau181 Fujirebio	EU instructions for use (16); N=181	Concentration vs clinical diagnosis	87/80	-	Thresholds (positive) - p-Tau181 >61 pg/mL	
	Published findings (17); data from an additional study	Concentration vs neuropathology	69/85	0.82	-	
Lumipulse® G P-tau181 <i>Fujirebio</i>	EU instructions for use (16); N=94	Concentration vs. amyloid PET [‡]	-	0.84	See Lumipulse® G in amyloid section for A642/P-tau181 performances See text for performance data based on clinical SoT <u>Threshold</u> - Not included in documentation	
	-	-	-	-	No additional publications	
Elecsys® P-tau181 <i>Roche Diagnostics</i>	EU instructions for use (37); N=277, sample from BioFINDER cohort	Concentration vs amyloid PET*	91/89	0.94	<u>Threshold (positive)</u> - P-tau181 >27 pg/ml	
	Published findings (25, 26); data from 2 additional studies	Concentration vs neuropathology	-	0.75-0.88	-	
Euroimmun P-tau181 Perkin Elmer	Visit company website to requ		See text for performance data from company-published AD document			
	Published findings (38); data from an additional study	Concentration vs tau PET ⁺	-	-	OPAs 65-77% for tau PET quantitation approach	
TECAN P-tau181 <i>IBL International</i>	EU instructions for use (33); N=101	Concentration vs clinical diagnosis	87/92	0.97	Threshold (positive) - P-tau181 >51 pg/ml	
	-	-	-	-	No additional publications	
ADmark® P-tau181 Athena Diagnostics	Visit company website to request information (35)					
	Published findings (36); data from an additional study	Concentration vs AD neuropathological manifestations	80/80	0.85	-	

FOOTNOTES

For published findings, only studies with N>30 considered Ranges for A β 42 concentration and ratios are not necessarily from the same studies Thresholds shown are for the SoT listed in the SoT column

*PET status determined via visual qualitative read (per instructions of radiotracer manufacturer) †PET status determined via quantitative approach *PET status determined via either visual qualitative read or quantitative approach

Abbreviations: Aβ, β-amyloid; ADNI, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; AUC, area under the curve; BDD, Breakthrough Device Designation; IVD, in vitro device; LDT, laboratory developed test; OPA, overall percent agreement; PET, positron emission tomography; PPA/NPA, positive percent agreement/negative percent agreement; sens/spec, sensitivity/specificity; SoT, standard of truth

Table 3 - Detection of amyloid and tau in plasma

Summary of assays authorized by regulatory authorities in the United States (US) or Europe, or run as an LDT in the US

Test	Source see manuscript for details	Measure vs SoT	PPA/NPA	AUC	Additional Information		
AMYLOID STATUS - Aβ42/40 RATIO							
PrecivityAD™	Visit company website to request information (39)						
Aβ42/40 ratio (+ ApoE status + age) <i>C2N Diagnostics</i>	Published findings (40, 41); data from 2 additional studies	Concentration vs amyloid PET ⁺	92/77	0.88-0.90	-		
ABtest-IA	Visit company website to request information (42)						
Aβ42/40 ratio Araclon Biotech	Published findings (43, 44); data from 2 additional studies	Concentration vs amyloid PET ⁺	68-78/53-88	0.88	-		
Quest AD-Detect™	Visit company website to request information (45)						
Aβ42/40 ratio Quest Diagnostics	Published findings (46); data from one additional study	Concentration vs amyloid PET	71/89	0.86	-		
Sysmex Amyloid-β	Visit company website to request information (47)						
system HISCL [™] -5000/ HISCL [™] -800 Aβ42/40 ratio Sysmex	Published findings (48); data from one additional study	Concentration vs amyloid PET* Concentration vs amyloid PET [†]	88-96/72-84 -	0.87-0.94 0.92-0.93	-		
TAU STATUS - P-tau181 CONCENTRATION							
P-tau181	Visit company website to request information (49)						
Quanterix	Published findings (50); data from an additional study	Concentration vs tau PET ⁺	-	0.69-0.73	-		

FOOTNOTES

For published findings, only studies with N>30 considered

*PET status determined via visual qualitative read (per instructions of radiotracer manufacturer) *PET status determined via quantitative approach

Abbreviations: Aβ, β-amyloid; ApoE, apolipoprotein E; AUC, area under curve; LDT, laboratory developed test; PET, positron emission tomography; PPA/NPA, positive percent agreement/negative percent agreement; sens/spec, sensitivity/specificity; SoT, standard of truth

REFERENCES

1. Amyvid[®] (florbetapir F 18 injection) [package insert]. Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN; 2019. <u>https://pi.lilly.com/us/amyvid-uspi.pdf?s=pi</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

2. Amyvid[®] (florbetapir F 18 injection) [product information]. Eli Lilly Nederland B.V, Urtrecht, The Netherlands; 2019.

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/amyvid-epar-product-information_en.pdf Accessed Aug 2022.

3. Clark CM, Pontecorvo MJ, Beach TG, et al. Cerebral PET with florbetapir compared with neuropathology at autopsy for detection of neuritic amyloid-β plaques: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Neurol 2012;11:669-678.

4. Neuraceq[®] (florbetaben F 18 injection) [package insert]. Life Molecular Imaging Ltd, Warwick, UK; 2021. <u>https://neuraceq.com/wp-</u>content/uploads/2022/09/PRESCRIBING-INFORMATION.pdf Accessed Aug 2022.

5. Neuraceq[®] (florbetaben F 18 injection) [product information]. Life Radiopharma, Berlin GmbH, Germany; 2019.

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/neuraceq-epar-product-information_en.pdf Accessed Aug 2022.

6. Doré V, Bullich S, Rowe CC, et al. Comparison of 18F-florbetaben quantification results using the standard Centiloid, MR-based, and MR-less CapAIBL[®] approaches: Validation against histopathology. Alzheimers Dement 2019;15:807-816.

7. Bullich S, Seibyl J, Catafau AM, et al. Optimized classification of 18F-Florbetaben PET scans as positive and negative using an SUVR quantitative approach and comparison to visual assessment. Neuroimage Clin 2017;15:325-332.

8. Vizamyl[™] (flutemetamol F 18 injection) [package insert]. GE Healthcare, Arlington Heights, IL; 2020. <u>https://www.gehealthcare.com/-</u>

/jssmedia/widen/2018/01/25/0204/gehealthcarecom/migrated/2018/02/19/0834/er-clinical-product-info-vizamyl-203-

8c17d992a0aa9aadb2e446d7f5580a8b_43-1067c_vizamyl_pdf.pdf?la=en-usAccessed Aug 2022.

9. Curtis C, Gamez JE, Singh U, et al. Phase 3 trial of flutemetamol labeled with radioactive fluorine 18 imaging and neuritic plaque density. JAMA Neurol 2015;72:287-294.

10. Vizamyl[™] (flutemetamol F 18 injection) [product information]. GE Healthcare AS, Oslo, Norway; 2019. <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/vizamyl-epar-product-information_en.pdf</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

11. Ikonomovic MD, Buckley CJ, Heurling K, et al. Post-mortem histopathology underlying β-amyloid PET imaging following flutemetamol F 18 injection. Acta Neuropathol Commun 2016;4:1-24.

12. Salloway S, Gamez JE, Singh U, et al. Performance of [18F] flutemetamol amyloid imaging against the neuritic plaque component of CERAD and the current (2012) NIA-AA recommendations for the neuropathologic diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2017;9:25-34.

13. TAUVID[™] (flortaucipir F 18 injection) [package insert]. Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN; 2022. <u>https://pi.lilly.com/us/tauvid-uspi.pdf</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

14. Fleisher AS, Pontecorvo MJ, Devous MD, et al. Positron emission tomography imaging with [18F] flortaucipir and postmortem assessment of Alzheimer disease neuropathologic changes. JAMA Neurol 2020;77:829-839.

15. Josephs KA, Tosakulwong N, Gatto RG, et al. Optimum differentiation of frontotemporal lobar degeneration from Alzheimer disease achieved with crosssectional tau positron emission tomography. Ann Neurol 2022;92:1016-1029.

16. Request information at Fujirebio website. <u>https://www.fujirebio.com/</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

17. Tapiola T, Alafuzoff I, Herukka S-K, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid β-amyloid 42 and tau proteins as biomarkers of Alzheimer-type pathologic changes in the brain. Arch Neurol 2009;66:382-389.

18. Lumipulse G β-Amyloid Ratio (1-42/1-40). US Sales Sheet. Fujirebio, Tokyo, Japan. <u>https://www.fujirebio.com/sites/default/files/2022-07/lumipulse_g_b-amyloid_ratio_1-42-1-40_sales_sheet.pdf</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

19. Alcolea D, Pegueroles J, Munoz L, et al. Agreement of amyloid PET and CSF biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease on Lumipulse. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2019;6:1815-1824.

20. Kaplow J, Vandijck M, Gray J, et al. Concordance of Lumipulse cerebrospinal fluid t-tau/Aβ42 ratio with amyloid PET status. Alzheimers Dement 2020;16:144-152.

21. Moon S, Kim S, Mankhong S, et al. Alzheimer's cerebrospinal biomarkers from Lumipulse fully automated immunoassay: concordance with amyloid-beta PET and manual immunoassay in Koreans: CSF AD biomarkers measured by Lumipulse in Koreans. Alzheimers Res Ther 2021;13:22.

22. Campbell MR, Ashrafzadeh-Kian S, Petersen RC, et al. P-tau/Aβ42 and Aβ42/40 ratios in CSF are equally predictive of amyloid PET status. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2021;13:e12190.

23. Willemse EA, Tijms BM, van Berckel BN, et al. Comparing CSF amyloid-beta biomarker ratios for two automated immunoassays, Elecsys and Lumipulse, with amyloid PET status. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2021;13:e12182.

24. Elecsys β-Amyloid (1-42) CSF II - Ref 08821941190 [Instructions for use]. Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland 2021. https://pim-

eservices.roche.com/eLD/api/downloads/7aa19107-2bbd-eb11-0391-005056a772fd?countryIsoCode=ie Accessed Aug 2022.

25. Mattsson-Carlgren N, Grinberg LT, Boxer A, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in autopsy-confirmed Alzheimer disease and frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Neurology 2022;98:e1137-e1150.

26. Grothe MJ, Moscoso A, Ashton NJ, et al. Associations of fully automated CSF and novel plasma biomarkers with Alzheimer disease neuropathology at autopsy. Neurology 2021;97:e1229-e1242.

27. Request information at Euroimmun website. <u>https://www.euroimmun.com/</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

28. Janelidze S, Zetterberg H, Mattsson N, et al. CSF Aβ42/Aβ40 and Aβ42/Aβ38 ratios: better diagnostic markers of Alzheimer disease. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2016;3:154-165.

29. Janelidze S, Pannee J, Mikulskis A, et al. Concordance between different amyloid immunoassays and visual amyloid positron emission tomographic assessment. JAMA Neurol 2017;74:1492-1501.

30. Álvarez I, Diez-Fairen M, Aguilar M, et al. Added value of cerebrospinal fluid multimarker analysis in diagnosis and progression of dementia. Eur J Neurol 2021;28:1142-1152.

31. Janelidze S, Mattsson N, Palmqvist S, et al. Plasma P-tau181 in Alzheimer's disease: relationship to other biomarkers, differential diagnosis, neuropathology and longitudinal progression to Alzheimer's dementia. Nat Med 2020;26:379-386.

32. Request information at TECAN website. <u>https://www.ibl-international.com/</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

33. TECAN Phospho-TAU ELISA - Ref 30121609 [Instructions for use]. IBL International, Hamburg, Germany 2019. https://www.ibl-

international.com/media/mageworx/downloads/attachment/file/3/0/30121609_ifu_eu_en_phosphotau_elisa_v10_2020_7.pdf Accessed Aug 2022.

34. Lewczuk P, Matzen A, Blennow K, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid Aβ 42/40 corresponds better than Aβ 42 to amyloid PET in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2017;55:813-822.

35. Request information at Athena Diagnostics website. <u>https://www.athenadiagnostics.com/</u> Accessed Feb 2023.

36. Tariciotti L, Casadei M, Honig LS, et al. Clinical Experience with Cerebrospinal Fluid Aβ42, Total and Phosphorylated Tau in the Evaluation of 1,016 Individuals for Suspected Dementia. J Alzheimers Dis 2018;65:1417-1425. 2018/08/29. DOI: 10.3233/jad-180548.

37. Elecsys Phospho-Tau (181P) CSF - Ref 07357036 190 [Instructions for use]. Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland 2021. <u>https://pim-eservices.roche.com/eLD/api/downloads/885e3b12-18df-eb11-0b91-005056a71a5d?countryIsoCode=pi</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

38. Mattsson-Carlgren N, Leuzy A, Janelidze S, et al. The implications of different approaches to define AT (N) in Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2020;94:e2233-e2244.

39. Request information at PrecivityAD[™] website. <u>https://precivityad.com/</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

40. West T, Kirmess KM, Meyer MR, et al. A blood-based diagnostic test incorporating plasma Aβ42/40 ratio, ApoE proteotype, and age accurately identifies brain amyloid status: findings from a multi cohort validity analysis. Mol Neurodegener 2021;16:30.

41. Hu Y, Kirmess KM, Meyer MR, et al. Assessment of a plasma amyloid probability score to estimate amyloid positron emission tomography findings among adults with cognitive impairment. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e228392-e228392.

42. Request information at Araclon Biotec [ABtest-IA page]. <u>https://www.araclon.com/en/abtest-ia-2/</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

43. Doecke JD, Pérez-Grijalba V, Fandos N, et al. Total Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio in plasma predicts amyloid-PET status, independent of clinical AD diagnosis. Neurology 2020;94:e1580-e1591.

44. Pérez-Grijalba V, Arbizu J, Romero J, et al. Plasma Aβ42/40 ratio alone or combined with FDG-PET can accurately predict amyloid-PET positivity: a crosssectional analysis from the AB255 Study. Alzheimers Res Ther 2019;11:96.

45. Request information at Quest Diagnostics website. <u>https://www.questdiagnostics.com/</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

46. Edler MC, Russ KA, Mitchell CM, et al. A new LC-MS/MS assay for the quantification of Aβ40 and Aβ42 in plasma: validation and clinical performance. Presented at AAIC 2022. https://alz.confex.com/alz/2022/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/64182 Accessed Aug 2022.

47. Request information at Sysmex. https://www.sysmex.com/US/en/pages/default.aspx Accessed Feb 2023.

48. Yamashita K, Miura M, Watanabe S, et al. Fully automated and highly specific plasma β-amyloid immunoassays predict β-amyloid status defined by amyloid positron emission tomography with high accuracy. Alzheimers Res Ther 2022;14:86. 2022/06/24. DOI: 10.1186/s13195-022-01029-0.

49. Request information at Quanterix website. <u>https://www.quanterix.com/</u> Accessed Aug 2022.

50. Mielke MM, Frank RD, Dage JL, et al. Comparison of plasma phosphorylated tau species with amyloid and tau positron emission tomography, neurodegeneration, vascular pathology, and cognitive outcomes. JAMA Neurol 2021;78:1108-1117.