

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

The Journal of Prevention of Alzheimer's Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tjpad

Editorial

Lipid-lowering regimens in Alzheimer's disease dementia: small effects with potential long-term benefit[☆]



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Alzheimer
MCI
CDR-SB
LLR
Statins

Alois Alzheimer's initial characterization of the pathological features of the disease that bears his name encompassed three key molecular hallmarks: neurofibrillary tangles, amyloid plaques, and glial adipose saccules (intracellular lipid accumulation) [1]. The latter observation received little attention until approximately three decades ago, when Science posed the question "Bad for the Heart, Bad for the Mind?" and discussed the recently identified potential of statins to lower A β , alongside ApoE4, epidemiological observations and emerging clinical trial data [2]. Since then, elevated midlife cholesterol has been established as an important modifiable risk factor for Alzheimer's disease prevention. It is a relevant factor in identifying people at risk and for multimodal FINGER preventive intervention. However, the effectiveness of pharmacologically lowering LDL cholesterol remains uncertain: randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of statins have not provided clear evidence, often due to limitations in trial design, while observational cohort studies suggest no harm and a possible reduction in dementia risk [3]. Although the absence of harm and a potential reduction in risk may be considered sufficient in some settings, statins and lipid-lowering regimens (LLRs) rank among the most commonly prescribed long-term interventions globally and are associated with significant reductions in mortality. This raises the critical question of whether LLRs also provide clinically meaningful slowing of cognitive-functional decline.

Sternberg and colleagues offer important additional insight into this issue [4]. In a prospective study with 10 years of follow-up, they assessed the impact of LLRs on conversion from normal cognition to MCI and subsequent progression to dementia, using the CDR-SB as a quantitative measure of effect size. Despite the large sample size (nearly 50,000 participants) and robust statistical significance, the absolute effects were very small: for conversion to MCI, the difference amounted to approximately a 1/100th CDR-SB point per year compared with non-LLR use, a clinically negligible magnitude. Among individuals older

than 50 years with greater impairment (CDR-SB >3), the effect increased to -0.17 points annually. Although still small, such incremental benefits may accumulate over time. This perspective aligns with recent work by Dickson and Hendrix, who translated treatment effects in CDR-SB into time gained in RCTs. For example, CDR-SB differences of -0.36 points over 76 weeks for donanemab and -0.6 points over 24 months for Fortasyn Connect corresponded to delays in disease progression of 5.2 and 10.5 months, respectively [5,6]. By extension, sustained annual reductions of -0.17 points may potentially translate into clinically relevant delays in progression over the disease course.

Several important limitations merit consideration. No significant differences were detected in hippocampal atrophy, CSF tau concentrations, or Braak staging, which may indicate the absence of a clear disease-modifying effect, distinguishing these findings from those reported in the above-mentioned randomized trials. From an AD perspective, observational studies of statins are frequently considered vulnerable to bias, potentially leading to an overestimation of treatment effects on disease progression. In this study, inclusion criteria were deliberately broad to approximate real-world conditions, with prior exposure to a lipid-lowering drug as the principal inclusion criterion. However, exclusion of individuals receiving antidiabetic or antihypertensive therapies, together with the absence of biomarker confirmation of Alzheimer's pathology in both cognitively normal and MCI participants, limits this real-world generalizability. In addition, LLRs comprised a heterogeneous group of therapies, predominantly statins but also other agents; pooling these treatments may obscure differences in efficacy across drug classes.

LLRs offer several advantages for use in Alzheimer's disease prevention. These therapies are affordable, widely indicated in older populations, and supported by extensive cardiovascular evidence. In addition, they are associated with a low incidence of adverse effects,

[☆] OpenAI 5.3 in auto setting was used to correct spelling and grammar.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjpad.2026.100576>

Received 15 April 2026; Accepted 16 April 2026

Available online 27 April 2026

2274-5807/© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Masson SAS on behalf of SERDI Publisher. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

supporting their suitability for long-term use. Many LLRs are well tolerated in combination with other medications. Given the modest magnitude of observed effects, future clinical trials will need to evaluate LLRs in combination with other available interventions if they are to play a role in Alzheimer's disease prevention. A more detailed understanding of which LLR agents are associated with greater slowing of cognitive-functional decline will be essential.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Tobias Hartmann: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest


The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Given his role as Editorial Board Member JPAD, had no involvement in the peer review of this article and had no access to information regarding its peer review. Full responsibility for the editorial process for this article was delegated to another journal editor."

Acknowledgments

TH receives funding by Multi-MeMo dementia prevention grant (German Bundesministerium für Forschung, Technologie und Raumfahrt grant 01ED2306), and other grants unrelated to this topic.

References

- [1] Alzheimer A, et al. An english translation of Alzheimer's 1907 paper, "Über eine eigenartige Erkrankung der Hirnrinde". *Clin Anat* 1995;8(6):429–31. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.980080612>.
- [2] Marx J. Alzheimer's disease. Bad for the heart, bad for the mind? *Science* 2001;294(5542):508–9. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.294.5542.508>.
- [3] Olmastroni E, et al. Statin use and risk of dementia or Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022; 29:804–14.
- [4] Sternberg Z, Podolsky RE, Yu J, Hua S, Halvorsen S, Schaller BJ. Hypolipidemics reduce the rate of Alzheimer's disease development and dementia progression: A cohort study linked with genetic and neuropathological analyses. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2026;13(6):100555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjpad.2026.100555>.
- [5] Dickson SP, et al. Time saved! As a demonstration of clinical meaningfulness and illustrated using the donanemab TRAILBLAZER-ALZ study findings. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2023;10(3):595–9. <https://doi.org/10.14283/jpad.2023.50>.
- [6] Dickson SP, et al. Evaluation of clinical meaningfulness of ffortasyn connect in terms of "time saved". *Prev Alzheimers Dis* 2024;11(4):992–7. <https://doi.org/10.14283/jpad.2024.55>.

Tobias Hartmann^{a,b,*} 

^a *Deutsches Institut für Demenz Prävention (DIDP), Medical Faculty, Saarland University, Kirrbergerstraße, Homburg, Germany*

^b *Department of Experimental Neurology, Saarland University, Kirrbergerstraße, Homburg, Germany*

* Corresponding author at: Deutsches Institut für Demenzprävention, Medical Faculty, Saarland University, Homburg, Germany.
E-mail address: tobias.hartmann@uks.eu.